l	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
2	In the Matter of )
4 5 6 7 8 9	MUR 6084 ) CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE JOHN N. KENNEDY ) ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM JOHN KENNEDY FOR US SENATE, INC. ) AND KEITH A DAVIS, AS TREASURER )
10 11	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT
12	Under the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated
13 14 15 16	are forwarded to the Commission with a recommendation for dismissal. The  Commission has determined that pursuing low-rated matters compared to other higher rated matters on the Enforcement docket warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to
17	dismiss these cases. The Office of General Counsel scored MUR 6084 as a low-rated matter
18	The complainant in this matter, Jay Howser, alleges that then-senatorial candidate
19	John N Kennedy and his campaign committee, John Kennedy for US Senate Inc. and Keith
20	A. Davis, in his official capacity as treasurer ("the Respondents"), aired a campaign
21	advertisement on multiple television stations, which failed to conclude with a written
22	authorization by Mr. Kennedy stating that he had approved the message, in violation of
23	2 U S C. § 441d(d)(1)(B)(11) and 11 C.F R § 110 11(c)(3)(111) The complainant
24	acknowledges, however, that a "written disclaimer" appeared on-acreen at the beginning of
25	the advertisements 1

According to the complainant, the advertisement may be accessed at <a href="http://www.voutube.com/watch?v=137Fre-Ubi">http://www.voutube.com/watch?v=137Fre-Ubi</a>

## Case Closure under EPS -- MUR 6084 Page 2 of 3

ı Respondents' reply acknowledges that the Kennedy campaign aired an advertisement, 2 entitled "Museum," which began with a written statement that Mr Kennedy authorized the 3 message. Within 24 hours, however, according to respondents, they realized that Mr Kennedy's written authorization should have been placed at the conclusion of the 5 advertisement, and they made the necessary correction. Respondents also assert that even the 6 defective advertisement included a clearly readable written statement acknowledging that 7 Mr Kennedy had authorized the message, along with a similar oral message and a full-screen image of Mr Kennedy Citing other MURs where the Commission voted to dismiss matters 8 9 where written candidate authorizations were included in their political advertisements, albeit 10 not at the conclusion of the advertisement, respondents request that this matter be dismissed 11 This Office was able to access what appears to be the initial advertisement on 12 YouTube com, and confirmed that the message included a full-screen image of Mr. Kennedy, 13 along with his oral and written approval. Given that this identifying information was 14 provided, it does not seem that the public would have been misled as to who paid for and 15 approved the advertisement. Therefore, in furtherance of the Commission's priorities and 16 resources, relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the Office of General 17 Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss 18 the matter. See Heckler v Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).

ı

31 32

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 2 The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss
- 3 MUR 6084 as to John N Kennedy, John Kennedy for US Senate, Inc., and Keith A Davis, in
- 4 his official capacity as treasurer, close the file, and approve the appropriate letters.

Thomasenia P. Duncan 5 6 General Counsel 7 8 9 BY Gregor R Bake 10 Special Counsel 11 12 Complaints Examination & Legal Administration 13 14 15 16 Jeff S Jordan
Supervisory Attorney 17 18 19 Complaints Examination 20 & Legal Administration 21 22 23 24 Attorney, Complaints Examination 25 & Legal Administration 26 27 28 29 **30**